

Date: Thu, 11 Aug 94 04:30:14 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #368
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 11 Aug 94 Volume 94 : Issue 368

Today's Topics:

 CW ...IS history! (2 msgs)
 Isn't Amateur Radio a Hobby?
 What is wrong with Ham radio

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 5 Aug 1994 12:19:06 GMT
From: gumby!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!ncar!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!usc!
howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!eff!news.duke.edu!news-
feed-1.peachnet.edu!ukma!rsg1.er.usgs.gov!@
Subject: CW ...IS history!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <40.2803.2427@channel1.com> alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
writes:

>Desert Storm, all field ops by SS VHF/UHF. Data via laptops and SS UHF.
>Long range via military satallite.

That's funny. I thought I listened to "Looking Glass" and some of the KFO
with my HF receiver? both RED and GREEN exchanges. Was this just another
military trick? :-)

73,
C. C. (Clay) Wynn N4AOX
wyn@ornl.gov

=====
= Cooperation requires participation. Competition teaches cooperation. =
=====

... ..

Date: Fri, 5 Aug 1994 12:21:48 GMT
From: gummy!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!ncar!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!usc!
howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!news.umbc.edu!eff!news.duke.edu!news-
feed-1.peachnet.edu!ukma!rsg1.er.usgs.gov!@
Subject: CW ...IS history!
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <40.2803.2427@channel1.com> alan.wilensky@channel1.com (Alan Wilensky)
writes:

>Here's the kicker. Long haul private HF communications between
>transoceanic commercail aircraft and their offices, and other aircraft
>is done using AMTOR FEC mode. And others. No CW.

More strange stuff here! What am I listening to out of Gander Radio on my
HF receiver? More military-like tricks by the commercial guys? Come on Alan,
do you even have an HF receiver?

73,
C. C. (Clay) Wynn N4AOX
wyn@ornl.gov

=====
= Cooperation requires participation. Competition teaches cooperation. =
=====

... ..

Date: Fri, 5 Aug 1994 12:08:46 GMT
From: ncar!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!jobone!ukma!rsg1.er.usgs.gov!stc06.CTD.ORNL.GOV!
xdepc.eng.ornl.gov!wyn@ames.arpa
Subject: Isn't Amateur Radio a Hobby?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <080494235307Rnf0.78@amcomp.com> dan@amcomp.com (Dan Pickersgill)
writes:

>Out of context and quoted from memory no doubt. Nice try, I am sure that
>the new commers to r.r.a.p. are impressed. Since when is quoting a post
>supposed to be 'embarrasing'? Or is that an evasion?

Actually I didn't do to bad. Just read the succeeding posts of the
original author(s). You see, I knew he/she was lurking and this would
smoke him/her out.

>>

>>Well Dan, you have to know something about repeater crossband operation and
>>control to appreciate the anecdote. Sorry about that. :-)

>Dan (Guess I gotta change my .sig now... How 'bout you?) N8PKV

If you desire!

73,

C. C. (Clay) Wynn N4AOX
wyn@ornl.gov

=====
= Cooperation requires participation. Competition teaches cooperation. =
=====

..._ .. _.. _ _ . _.. . __. _.. _ _ _.. _..

Date: Sun, 7 Aug 1994 06:00:00 GMT
From: lll-winken.llnl.gov!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!
sdd.hp.com!saimiri.prima.wisc.edu!news.doit.wisc.edu!F181-150.net.wisc.edu!
bmicales@ames.arpa
Subject: What is wrong with Ham radio
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

What is wrong with ham radio is that we (I am including myself in "we") are
becoming more and more closed minded on how things should be (IMHO).

All modes of communications (no matter how out-dated they may appear as long
as they insure good operating practices) should be used (spark-gap is of
course excluded). None should be deleted and none should be considered the
defining mode of operation for amateur radio.

What does this mean? The requirements for the various license class must
be looked at and changed were needed. Increase the theory no problem,
increase the depth of understanding of the regulations...no problem, use a
mode of communications to check a persons proficiency of operation.... no
problem. Which mode to select...ahhhhhh, there's the rub! IMHO, CW is

good since you can easily measure the proficiency in words per minute copy (shall we also bring back the sending portion?).

However, I fully recognize there a MANY other modes of communications available. So how do we measure the proficiency of operation with these modes? I welcome suggestions since I have no idea at present.

How about, you select a mode for the test so that your proficiency of operation can be determined? Please note: once you get your license, you ARE NOT (added for emphasis - sorry) restricted to this mode of operation.

I would appreciate any feedback (no flames. no name calling... just constructive feedback). I am sure that I may have over looked something or someone...if I did sorry...please correct me. Have a nice day!

These are my opinions.

Thank you for all your kind responses (in advance)

73

Bruce Micales

WA2DEU

P.S. The other elements of amateur radio also must not be forgotten ..public service, advancing the state of the art, international goodwill, and increasing ones personal knowledge.

Just my two cents

Thanks

Bruce Micales

WA2DEU

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #368
